



## **DCH Technology Consulting**

Independent Consulting in Audiovisual, Control, and Smart Technology Integration

### **Modernizing Courtrooms: A Consultant's Guide to Future-Ready Justice Spaces**

Prepared by: Douglas Hoag  
Email: [douglas.hoag@dchtc.com](mailto:douglas.hoag@dchtc.com)  
Phone: (970) 313-3062

## Table of Contents

---

|       |                                                                       |   |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 1     | Introduction: Coordinating Courtroom Modernization                    | 3 |
| 2     | The Problem Facing Courts Today                                       | 3 |
| 2.1   | Outdated Systems Are Still the Norm                                   | 3 |
| 2.2   | Hybrid Proceedings Strain Fragile Setups                              | 3 |
| 2.3   | Technology Is Often Designed Without the End User in Mind             | 3 |
| 2.4   | Split Responsibilities Create Gaps in Integration                     | 4 |
| 3     | The Stakes: Why It Matters More Than Ever                             | 4 |
| 3.1   | Public Trust Depends on Clear, Consistent Proceedings                 | 4 |
| 3.2   | Operational Inefficiencies Waste Time and Resources                   | 4 |
| 3.3   | Equity in the Hybrid Courtroom Must Be Maintained                     | 4 |
| 3.4   | Compliance and Chain of Custody Can Be Jeopardized                    | 4 |
| 3.5   | Misalignment Between County and State Systems Creates Vulnerabilities | 4 |
| 4     | What Modernization Should Look Like                                   | 4 |
| 4.1   | A future-ready courtroom should be:                                   | 5 |
| 4.1.1 | Designed for Human Experience                                         | 5 |
| 4.1.2 | Equipped for Hybrid Flexibility                                       | 5 |
| 4.1.3 | Integrated with Scheduling and Infrastructure                         | 5 |
| 4.1.4 | Built Around State + County Interoperability                          | 5 |
| 5     | Collaborating Early, Designing Better                                 | 5 |
| 5.1   | Bringing in a consultant early allows for:                            | 5 |
| 5.1.1 | Proactive Room Layout Decisions                                       | 5 |
| 5.1.2 | Architectural Coordination                                            | 5 |
| 5.1.3 | State + County Dialogue Before It's Too Late                          | 5 |
| 5.1.4 | Tailored Solutions That Reflect Budget and Workflow                   | 5 |
| 6     | Why Work with a Specialist Consultant                                 | 6 |
| 6.1   | Here's how:                                                           | 6 |
| 6.1.1 | Manufacturer-Agnostic Recommendations:                                | 6 |
| 6.1.2 | Practical Experience in Courtroom and Public Sector Projects:         | 6 |
| 6.1.3 | Facilitating Dialogue Across Jurisdictions                            | 6 |
| 6.1.4 | County Board Members Are Critical Partners                            | 6 |
| 6.1.5 | Future-Focused Without Overdesigning                                  | 6 |
| 7     | Next Steps: Start the Conversation                                    | 6 |

# 1 Introduction: Coordinating Courtroom Modernization

---

Many courtrooms face growing demands on systems built for a different way of conducting proceedings. Hybrid participation, clear audio, digital evidence presentation, and public access all place new expectations on existing spaces. Modernization starts with understanding how those spaces function today and where technology is making the work harder.

But the true obstacle isn't just outdated equipment, it's complexity.

Depending on the jurisdiction, courtroom technology responsibilities may be divided between state and county organizations. One may oversee video conferencing, in-room audio, and content presentation, while the other manages recording, signal routing, or portions of the control system. Separate vendors, funding sources, and operational requirements can make coordination difficult, even though all of these systems must work together during a proceeding.

That's where things begin to unravel.

Architects are asked to design around systems that haven't been defined. IT teams are forced to troubleshoot integrations they didn't select. Judges and staff adapt to confusing interfaces, unreliable systems, and ad hoc workarounds that compromise the integrity of proceedings. In some cases, county-funded upgrades are rendered ineffective simply because they weren't designed with state-level requirements in mind.

These are not one-off frustrations; they're systemic.

These challenges highlight the need for a trusted advisor who understands both the technology and the people and organizational responsibilities surrounding it. A consultant can facilitate communication between counties, states, architects, and integrators to help create a coordinated system that supports participants in the courtroom and those joining remotely.

This white paper explores the underlying challenges and offers guidance on how courts, designers, and IT professionals can navigate these complexities to deliver more transparent, equitable, and future-ready justice.

## 2 The Problem Facing Courts Today

---

Courtroom modernization requires understanding how technology supports the judicial process. Yet many courts face pressure to improve their systems without a clear path for addressing operational needs and jurisdictional responsibilities together. Establishing that shared understanding helps project teams define the problems an upgrade needs to solve.

Let's break down the most common issues:

### 2.1 Outdated Systems Are Still the Norm

Many courtrooms rely on aging infrastructure, legacy microphones, analog signal paths, and fixed-input systems that weren't designed for hybrid proceedings or high-stakes recordings. The result? Dropped audio. Missed evidence. Frustrated users.

### 2.2 Hybrid Proceedings Strain Fragile Setups

Remote participants often struggle to hear or be heard. In-room attendees deal with feedback, unclear visuals, or systems that require constant IT support. Judges are left mediating tech instead of managing proceedings.

### 2.3 Technology Is Often Designed Without the End User in Mind

Systems may check a box on paper but fail in practice. Overcomplicated interfaces, hidden control panels, and inconsistent operational logic lead to human error, delayed proceedings, and unnecessary tech support calls.

## 2.4 Split Responsibilities Create Gaps in Integration

Where counties and states fund, procure, and manage separate portions of courtroom technology, those portions still need to function as one coordinated system. Misalignment between scopes can create overlapping functions, unclear handoffs, or compatibility problems that emerge late in the project. Early coordination helps each organization understand how its responsibilities contribute to the operation of the complete courtroom.

## 3 The Stakes: Why It Matters More Than Ever

---

Courtrooms are spaces where justice, transparency, and due process must be supported in practice. Technology that interferes with communication or participation can affect how people experience the proceeding and their confidence in the process.

### 3.1 Public Trust Depends on Clear, Consistent Proceedings

Poor audio quality, interrupted evidence presentation, or a frozen video feed can make proceedings difficult to follow. Clear, consistent communication helps participants understand what is happening and supports confidence that they can hear, be heard, and take part appropriately.

### 3.2 Operational Inefficiencies Waste Time and Resources

When technology requires constant IT support or improvisation, proceedings slow down. Repeated delays due to malfunctioning systems increase administrative burden and create scheduling bottlenecks.

### 3.3 Equity in the Hybrid Courtroom Must Be Maintained

Remote defendants or attorneys should have the same ability to be seen, heard, and understood as those in the room. Technology must not become a barrier to fair participation.

### 3.4 Compliance and Chain of Custody Can Be Jeopardized

Courtroom technology must support the court's requirements for recording proceedings and handling digital evidence. When systems are planned separately, gaps can emerge in how information is captured, accessed, and passed between responsible parties. Those requirements need to be understood early so the design supports established procedures and clear accountability.

### 3.5 Misalignment Between County and State Systems Creates Vulnerabilities

When recording equipment, control systems, or video conferencing tools are designed in isolation, the result is disjointed workflows and costly rework. Each layer of miscommunication or incompatible design increases risk, and that risk often becomes apparent during a proceeding.

## 4 What Modernization Should Look Like

---

Modernization doesn't mean buying the latest tech; it means building systems that are intentional, coordinated, and appropriate for how courts actually operate.

## 4.1 A future-ready courtroom should be:

### 4.1.1 Designed for Human Experience

Clear microphone pickup, thoughtful speaker placement, appropriate lighting for cameras, and intuitive interfaces help court staff and participants focus on the proceeding. Everyday functions should follow familiar workflows, with controls that are easy to understand and consistent with each user's responsibilities.

### 4.1.2 Equipped for Hybrid Flexibility

Courts need reliable, platform-agnostic video conferencing tools that integrate seamlessly with in-room audio and video systems. Camera placement, screen visibility, and signal flow should support both in-room and remote participants equally.

### 4.1.3 Integrated with Scheduling and Infrastructure

Smart systems can pull from court calendars to activate rooms, prepare AV systems, or adjust climate control. Integrating with building automation and scheduling platforms creates operational efficiency and reduces support requests.

### 4.1.4 Built Around State + County Interoperability

A successful courtroom system accounts for the requirements of each responsible organization, including recording, archival, control, and network needs. A specialist consultant helps the project team clarify those responsibilities, identify where systems must connect, and establish a coordinated design approach. That work gives architects, IT teams, and integrators a shared understanding of what the complete courtroom must accomplish.

## 5 Collaborating Early, Designing Better

---

One of the most common missteps in courtroom modernization is treating audiovisual systems as an afterthought, something to figure out after the room is drawn or construction begins. But courtroom AV is no longer just a peripheral system; it's central to how justice is delivered.

### 5.1 Bringing in a consultant early allows for:

#### 5.1.1 Proactive Room Layout Decisions

Camera sightlines, microphone coverage, speaker placement, and display visibility must align with room dimensions and furniture layout. Poor planning here leads to awkward retrofits or unusable tech.

#### 5.1.2 Architectural Coordination

Lighting, acoustic treatments, conduit paths, and equipment access all affect technology performance. Architects benefit from a partner who can translate user needs into clear design parameters.

#### 5.1.3 State + County Dialogue Before It's Too Late

Early collaboration helps resolve scope gaps, integration handoffs, and compliance issues before they derail timelines or inflate budgets. A consultant can facilitate conversations between agencies that don't always speak the same operational language.

#### 5.1.4 Tailored Solutions That Reflect Budget and Workflow

The right solution depends on the court's workflows, budget, and support capabilities. Planning should account for how the room is used across arraignments, jury trials, remote witness testimony, and digital

evidence presentation. Those needs help establish where standard solutions fit and where additional coordination or customization is warranted.

## 6 Why Work with a Specialist Consultant

---

Not every integrator or technology vendor understands how courtroom environments function or the jurisdictional nuances that shape them. That's where a specialist consultant makes the difference.

### 6.1 Here's how:

#### 6.1.1 Manufacturer-Agnostic Recommendations:

Our focus is on outcomes, not products. That means every solution is tailored to your court's real-world needs, with no allegiance to any specific brand or vendor.

#### 6.1.2 Practical Experience in Courtroom and Public Sector Projects:

Designing for a courtroom isn't the same as designing for an office or classroom. Proceedings, privacy, public access, evidence workflows, and user control all demand thoughtful planning and specialized knowledge.

#### 6.1.3 Facilitating Dialogue Across Jurisdictions

While no one consultant knows every detail of every jurisdiction, we bring a deep understanding of where disconnects typically occur, and we know how to ask the right questions to bring county, state, and stakeholder requirements into alignment. We serve as the translator between architects, county IT, state judiciary teams, and end users.

#### 6.1.4 County Board Members Are Critical Partners

County boards often play a key role in funding, approving, and overseeing these projects. Their priorities include long-term investment, operational clarity, and public benefit. Those priorities should inform both the design and how the project's goals and recommendations are communicated.

#### 6.1.5 Future-Focused Without Overdesigning

Technology evolves fast, but that doesn't mean every system needs to chase the latest trend. We help courts implement solutions that are adaptable, maintainable, and built to serve for years to come.

## 7 Next Steps: Start the Conversation

---

You don't need to have all the answers right now.

Whether you're working within an outdated system, planning a capital improvement project, or just trying to understand what's even possible, the next step isn't buying new equipment; it's starting the right conversation.

Modernizing your courtrooms isn't just about new equipment. It's about:

- Respecting the relationship between state and county responsibilities
- Understanding how your courtroom actually functions
- Delivering a system that works for everyone, every day

If you're a judge, architect, county IT professional, or board member, I'd welcome a conversation about your courtroom's priorities and how DCH Technology Consulting can help.

Douglas Hoag  
Principal Consultant, DCH Technology Consulting  
douglas.hoag@dchtc.com | (970) 313-3062 | www.dchtc.com